

# THE $A_2$ THEOREM WITH THE DINI CONDITION

TUOMAS P. HYTÖNEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $T$  be an  $L^2$ -bounded operator having an  $\omega$ -Calderón–Zygmund kernel  $K$  with a modulus of continuity  $\omega$ . If  $\omega$  satisfied the Dini condition  $\int_0^1 \omega(t) dt/t < \infty$ , then  $T$  satisfies the  $A_2$  theorem

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(w)}$$

and many related estimates, as a consequence of a domination by dyadic operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with kernel  $K(x, y)$ . Let  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a modulus of continuity: a subadditive ( $\omega(t + u) \leq \omega(t) + \omega(u)$ ) and increasing function. Then  $K$  is called an  $\omega$ -Calderón–Zygmund kernel, if  $|K(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-d}$ ,

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| \leq C\omega\left(\frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y|}\right) \frac{1}{|x - y|^d}, \quad (1)$$

and the symmetric condition holds with the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  reversed.

We are concerned with the  $A_2$  theorem, i.e., the weighted norm inequality

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(w)}, \quad [w]_{A_2} := \sup_Q \int_Q w \cdot \int_Q \frac{1}{w} \quad (2)$$

for  $\omega$ -Calderón–Zygmund operators. The original proof [2] gave this for all Hölder moduli  $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$ , while the recent proofs by Hytönen–Lacey–Pérez and Lerner work under the condition that

$$\int_0^1 \omega(t) \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(2^{-k}) \cdot k < \infty \quad (3)$$

In all cases, the validity  $A_2$  theorem depends on the of representing  $T$  in terms of so-called dyadic shift, for which the bound (2) is feasible to check. In (3), the factor  $k$  comes from the fact that the best known  $L^2(w)$  estimate for a dyadic shift of order  $k$  is  $k \cdot [w]_{A_2}$ . Here we improve these existing arguments to show that the  $A_2$  theorem, and a related dyadic domination theorem, remains valid just under the classical Dini condition, i.e., (3) without the log term, or the factor  $k$ .

---

*Date:* December 18, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B25.

The author is supported by the European Union through the ERC Starting Grant “Analytic–probabilistic methods for borderline singular integrals”, and by the Academy of Finland, grants 130166 and 133264.

## 2. PROOF

This borrows a lot from the recent approaches of Hytönen–Lacey–Pérez [3] and Lerner [6, 7]; see these papers and the survey [1] for more details on parts of the argument. The critical novel ingredient is a partial summation argument and a subsequent new rearrangement of a multiple summation into dyadic shifts. We only require positive dyadic shifts of the following type: An operator

$$Sf = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{D}} \int_K f \cdot \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_K} 1_Q$$

is called a positive dyadic shift of order  $j$ , if it is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for each  $K \in \mathcal{D}$ , the collection  $\mathcal{Q}_K$  consists of dyadic subcubes  $Q \subseteq K$  with  $\ell(Q) \geq 2^{-j}\ell(K)$ . The shift has scales separated, if there is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  such that  $\log_2 \ell(K) \equiv i \pmod j$  for all  $K$  with  $\mathcal{Q}_K \neq \emptyset$ .

Our goal is to estimate  $\langle Tf, g \rangle$  for, say, bounded and compactly supported  $f, g$ . Let  $Q_0$  be a large cube containing the supports of both  $f, g$ . By Lerner's formula, in the simplified form given in [1, Theorem 2.3], we have

$$1_{Q_0}|Tf| \leq 1_{Q_0}|m_{Tf}(Q_0)| + 2 \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{osc}_\lambda(Tf; L) \cdot 1_L, \quad (4)$$

where  $\lambda = 2^{-2-d}$  is a dimensional constant,

- $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -sparse family (i.e., for all  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , there are pairwise disjoint subsets  $E(L) \subseteq L$  with  $|E(L)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|L|$ ) of dyadic subcubes of  $Q_0$ ,
- $m_{Tf}(Q_0)$  is any median of  $Tf$  on  $Q_0$ , and
- $\text{osc}_\lambda(Tf; L) := \inf_c \inf_{|E| \leq \lambda|Q|} \|(Tf - c)1_{Q \setminus E}\|_\infty$  is the local oscillation of  $Tf$ .

If  $Q_0$  contains the support of  $f$ , the median term is estimated as

$$1_{Q_0}|m_{Tf}(Q_0)| \lesssim \frac{1_{Q_0}}{|Q_0|} \|Tf\|_{L^1, \infty} \lesssim \frac{1_{Q_0}}{|Q_0|} \|f\|_{L^1} = 1_{Q_0} \int_{Q_0} |f|. \quad (5)$$

For the local oscillation of  $\omega$ -Calderón–Zygmund operators, there is the bound

$$\text{osc}_\lambda(Tf; L) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(2^{-k}) \int_{2^k L} |f| \quad (6)$$

essentially due to Jawerth–Torchinsky [5], but see [3, Lemma 2.6] and [7, Proposition 2.3] for details. Note that both (5) and (6) depend on the weak  $(1, 1)$  estimate for  $T$ , which is a consequence of the  $L^2$  boundedness and the kernel bound dual to (1). In fact, the regularity in the second variable is only used in this indirect way, so we could also just have assumed the weak  $(1, 1)$  bound and (1) as stated. Note that (1) is explicitly used to get the decay factors  $\omega(2^{-k})$  in (6).

Consider the adjacent dyadic systems

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha := \{2^{-k}([0, 1]^d + m + (-1)^k \alpha) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \quad \alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^d.$$

For an arbitrary cube  $L$ , let  $Q_\alpha(L)$  be the unique cube such that

$$Q_\alpha(L) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha, \quad Q_\alpha(L) \supset L, \quad 3\ell(L) < \ell(Q_\alpha(L)) \leq 6\ell(L),$$

if it exists, and  $Q_\alpha(L) := \emptyset$  otherwise. It is well-known that  $Q_\alpha(L) \supset L$  for at least one  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^d$ ; moreover [3, Lemma 2.8], for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find at least

one  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^d$  such that in addition  $Q_\alpha(L)^{(k)} \supset 2^k L$ . For this specific value  $\alpha =: \alpha(L, k)$ , we thus have

$$\int_{2^k L} |f| \cdot 1_L \lesssim \int_{Q_{\alpha(L, k)}(L)^{(k)}} |f| \cdot 1_{Q_{\alpha(L, k)}(L)} \lesssim \sum_{\alpha} \int_{Q_{\alpha}(L)^{(k)}} |f| \cdot 1_{Q_{\alpha}(L)}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\int_{\emptyset} |f| := 0$ . This can also be applied to (5) and  $Q_0$  in place of  $L$ .

Combining (4), (5), (6) and (7), we find that

$$1_{Q_0} |Tf| \lesssim \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(2^{-k}) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \int_{Q^{(k)}} |f| \cdot 1_Q, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha} := \{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} : Q = Q_{\alpha}(L) \text{ for some } L \in \mathcal{L}\},$$

and we also used the fact that the map  $L \in \mathcal{L} \mapsto Q_{\alpha}(L) \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}$  is at most  $6^d$ -to-one: given  $Q$ , all  $L$  with  $Q_{\alpha}(L) = Q$  are dyadic cubes of the same size (since  $\ell(L) \in [\frac{1}{6}\ell(Q), \frac{1}{3}\ell(Q))$ , and this interval contains a unique dyadic number), thus pairwise disjoint, and they satisfy  $L \subset Q$  and  $|L| \geq 6^{-d}|Q|$ . Note that each  $\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}$  is  $2^{-1}6^{-d}$ -sparse; indeed, for  $Q = Q_{\alpha}(L)$ , the subsets  $E(Q) := E(L)$  are pairwise disjoint and  $|E(Q)| = 2^{-1}|L| = 2^{-1}6^{-d}|Q|$ .

Now we come to the novel point in the argument. Let us define  $\delta(k) := \omega(2^{-k}) - \omega(2^{-k-1})$ . Then  $\delta(j) \geq 0$  and  $\omega(2^{-k}) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \delta(j)$ . Substituting into (8), changing the order of summation, and rearranging, we dominate  $1_{Q_0} |Tf|$  by

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(j) \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \int_{Q^{(k)}} |f| \cdot 1_Q = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(j) \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \int_{Q^{(i,j)}} |f| \cdot 1_Q,$$

where  $Q^{(i,j)} := Q^{(k)}$  for the unique  $k = k(i, j, Q) \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  such that  $\log_2 \ell(Q^{(k)}) = \log_2 \ell(Q) + k \equiv i \pmod{j}$ ; note that  $\{Q^{(i,j)} : i = 1, \dots, j\}$  is just another enumeration of  $\{Q^{(k)} : k = 1, \dots, j\}$ .

For each fixed  $i, j$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$S_{i,j}^{\alpha} |f| := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \int_{Q^{(i,j)}} |f| \cdot 1_Q = \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} \\ \log_2 \ell(K) \equiv i \\ \pmod{j}}} \int_K |f| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} \\ Q^{(i,j)} = K}} 1_Q$$

is a positive dyadic shift (acting on  $|f|$ ) of order  $j$ , with *scales separated*. Indeed, the condition that  $Q^{(i,j)} = K$  can be written again as  $Q^{(k)} = K$  for some  $k = k(i, j, K) \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ , so the structure of a dyadic shift is apparent from the latter formulation. From the first form, using the disjoint subsets  $E(Q) \subseteq Q$ , we immediately deduce the unweighted  $L^p$  boundedness from

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \int_{Q^{(i,j)}} |f| \cdot \int_Q |g| \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha}} \inf_Q Mf \cdot \inf_Q Mg \cdot |E(Q)| \leq \int Mf \cdot Mg.$$

Thus, we have shown the pointwise bound

$$1_{Q_0} |Tf| \lesssim \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(j) \sum_{i=1}^j S_{i,j}^{\alpha} |f|,$$

where each  $S_{i,j}^{\alpha}$  is a positive dyadic shift of order  $j$  with scales separated. In particular [4, Theorem 5.2], it satisfies  $\|S_{i,j}^{\alpha}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim 1$  uniformly in all parameters (rather than  $\lesssim j$ , which would be the best possible conclusion without the ‘scales

separated' condition [1]). Then it is possible to run Lerner's duality argument [6], using this improved weak-type estimate, to find that

$$|(S_{i,j}^\alpha)^* g| \lesssim S_{i,j}^{\alpha,0} |g|,$$

where  $S_{i,j}^{\alpha,0}$  is a positive dyadic shift of order zero. Thus, for  $f, g$  bounded and compactly supported (observing the self-duality of shifts of order zero), we deduce that

$$|\langle Tf, g \rangle| \lesssim \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(j) \cdot j \right) \sup_S \langle S|f|, |g| \rangle \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega(2^{-j}) \right) \sup_S \langle S|f|, |g| \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where the supremum is over all positive dyadic shift of order zero, and the last step follows easily by partial summation. This is the new dyadic domination theorem for  $\omega$ -Calderón–Zygmund operators under the Dini condition on  $\omega$ .

Since the  $A_2$  theorem holds for  $S$ , it also holds for  $T$ . Many other estimates are known for dyadic shifts of order zero, see [6], and they all transfer to Dini–Calderón–Zygmund operators by (9). With only minor modifications in the argument, this is also true for the maximal truncations of  $T$ , and even the smooth  $q$ -variation ( $q > 2$ ) of  $T$  for certain special operators  $T$ ; see [3].

### 3. AN APPLICATION

Consider the powers  $B^n$  of the Beurling–Ahlfors operator  $B$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{C}, w)$ . The operator  $B^n$  is a convolution operator, whose kernel has a modulus of continuity  $\omega(t) \lesssim n \min\{nt, 1\}$ , for which

$$\int_0^1 \omega(t) \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \int_0^{1/n} n^2 dt + \int_{1/n}^1 n \frac{dt}{t} = n(1 + \log n).$$

Thus we deduce that  $\|B^n f\|_{L^2(w)} \lesssim n(1 + \log n)[w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(w)}$ , which improves on earlier estimates. It would be interesting to know whether the  $\log n$  term is necessary in this estimate.

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Hytönen. The  $A_2$  theorem: remarks and complements. Preprint, 2012.
- [2] T. Hytönen. The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón–Zygmund operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(3):1473–1506, 2012.
- [3] T. Hytönen, M. T. Lacey, and C. Pérez. Sharp weighted bounds for the  $q$ -variation of singular integrals. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [4] T. Hytönen, C. Pérez, S. Treil, and A. Volberg. Sharp weighted estimates for dyadic shifts and the  $A_2$  conjecture. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* (to appear).
- [5] B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky. Local sharp maximal functions. *J. Approx. Theory*, 43(3):231–270, 1985.
- [6] A. K. Lerner. On an estimate of Calderón–Zygmund operators by dyadic positive operators. *J. Anal. Math.* (to appear).
- [7] A. K. Lerner. A simple proof of the  $A_2$  conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O.B. 68 (GUSTAF HÄLLSTRÖMIN KATU 2B),  
FI-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND  
E-mail address: `tuomas.hytonen@helsinki.fi`